```
1
 1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
 2
                         HARRISBURG DIVISION
 3
 4
   KENNETH B. DAVENPORT
                                     : CASE NO.
 5
                                         4:05-CV-2347
            V.
 6
   SHARON BURKS, ET AL
 7
 8
 9
                       TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
                              SETTLEMENT
10
11
                 BEFORE: HON. JOHN E. JONES, III
12
13
                 DATE:
                                August 6, 2010
                                11:45 a.m.
14
                 PLACE:
                                Judicial Conference Room
15
                                8th Floor
                                Federal Building
16
                                Harrisburg, Pennsylvania
17
                 BY:
                                Wendy C. Yinger, RPR, CRR
                                U.S. Official Court Reporter
18
19
20 APPEARANCES:
21 KENNETH L. RACKOWSKI, ESQUIRE
       For the Plaintiff
22
   KENNETH B. DAVENPORT, PLAINTIFF
23
      via videoconference
24
   GWENDOLYN T. MOSLEY, ESQUIRE
       For the Defendants
25
```

THE COURT: Mr. Davenport, we're trying to establish a land line, so if we drop you again it won't interrupt our ability to proceed. We're on the record in the matter of Davenport versus Burks, et al, and numerous Defendants. Wendy has the caption and she'll apply that. We are present in Harrisburg. We have conducted a settlement conference for the last almost three hours. I'd like, Liz, if you would swear in — my deputy is here. Would you swear in Mr. Davenport, please.

(Whereupon, Mr. Davenport was sworn.)

THE COURT: All right. After extensive discussion with the parties, it's the Court's understanding that a possible settlement works around these lines: That the Court would enter a 90-day closing order in this case; that the Defendants would pay within that interval to the Plaintiff the sum of \$5000.00.

In addition to that, it's my understanding that there needs to be some clarification, and I recognize that this is a sticking point, with respect to procedures in the law library where Mr. Davenport finds himself, particularly at Dallas. The purpose of this agreement, if it is an agreement, is not to attempt to overwrite any policies or procedures of the Department of Corrections.

It's the Court's understanding that, from Mr.

Davenport's standpoint, there has been some unclarity as to

what he is and is not permitted to do within the law library. My suggestion is that the settlement should be governed by this: That Mr. Davenport, when he is in the prison law library, will have the privilege, but not the right, subject to the administrative procedures of the prison, to discuss with other inmates within the library their legal affairs and to review their legal affairs with them so long as it is for an appropriate purpose.

And that would be the counseling and discussion with other inmates about their legal affairs. He could not conduct a legal clinic within the library. He could not breach the peace. He could not cause disturbance within the library. He must adhere to all the other administrative regulations, in fact all regulations in the institution, including that he is permitted to be in the library as according to time schedules that are pre-arranged as per present policy. But if he does that, it's my understanding that, that is not inconsistent with the prison policy.

Now before I go to Mr. Davenport, Ms. Mosley, is that an accurate statement of what Mr. Davenport is permitted and it is a privilege to do?

MS. MOSELY: It's the use of the word privilege, Your also Honor.

THE COURT: Well, I use privilege rather than right,
Ms. Mosley. That's why I used that word. It was very

```
4
 1
   intentional.
 2
             MS. MOSELY: Right.
             THE COURT: Anything that Mr. Davenport is allowed to
 3
 4
   do along those lines, as I understand it, is a privilege and a
 5
   privilege can be denied administratively if he abuses the
 6
   privilege. And I specifically avoid the word right based on
 7
   conversations I had with counsel. Is that acceptable?
 8
             MS. MOSELY: That's acceptable.
 9
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Davenport, is that
10
   acceptable to you?
11
             THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. Yeah, just one clarification.
12
   Outside -- say if I have drafts of materials or something I am
13
   doing for another individual, how is that being viewed in terms
14
   of contraband?
15
             THE COURT: Well, you cannot take anybody else's
   materials out of the law library and keep them as your own, as
16
17
   you and I discussed as a condition of the settlement.
                                                           We can't
18
   rewrite or overwrite the Department of Corrections' policy that
19
   you can't take somebody else's property back to your cell.
20
   Whether we agree or disagree with that policy, it is what the
21
   policy is.
22
             So anything that you do within the law library, to
23
   the extent that you are utilizing somebody else's property
24
   within the law library, it has to stay with them and go back to
25
   their cell as your property has to go back to your cell.
```

THE COURT: That gets Mr. Davenport to my comment

```
6
   about conducting a legal clinic. You can't, you know, perhaps
 1
 2
   go as far as you want to in assisting others and preparing
 3
   paperwork on hardware or other facilities that they have in the
 4
   library. But the gist of the arrangement is that you can have
   conversations.
 5
 6
             (The videoconference went down again and reception
 7
               was restored.)
 8
             THE COURT: Okay. We're back on. Mr. Davenport, so
 9
   the gist of this is that you can have conversations. You can
10
   interpret. You can chat with inmates about their legal issues.
11
   But when it comes down to serving as the preparer of things for
12
   them, using the prison facilities, and that would be
13
   typewriters apparently, word processors, whatever else, they
14
   have to do that for themselves. That's the practice and the
15
   procedure. Do you understand that?
16
             MR. DAVENPORT: Right, yeah. But understand this
17
   though, I have a typewriter in my own cell, so I'm suggesting
18
   that, if I choose to, I can type a letter for somebody else?
19
             THE COURT: Well, I'm talking about what happens in
20
   the prison library. I don't want to broaden this beyond that.
21
             MR. DAVENPORT:
                             Right, okay.
22
             THE COURT: That's the understanding. I don't want
23
   to go where I don't know.
24
             MR. DAVENPORT: Okay.
25
             THE COURT: I'm not here to change prison procedures
```

```
7
   to that extent. I'm talking about your interaction with other
 2
   inmates in the law library. That's what we're discussing.
 3
   Okay?
 4
             MR. DAVENPORT: Okay.
 5
             THE COURT: Is that acceptable to you?
 6
             MR. DAVENPORT: Yes, sir.
 7
             THE COURT: Is that acceptable to the Defendants?
 8
             MS. MOSELY: Yes.
 9
             THE COURT: All right. So the Court, Mr. Davenport,
10
   and Ms. Mosley will enter a 90-day closing order.
11
   principally for the purpose of allowing the $5000.00 to be
12
   paid, and the Court will take no other steps. We will not have
13
   to take any other steps unless something impedes or impairs
14
   your ability to fully consummate the settlement at this time.
15
   And I should ask Plaintiff's counsel, is that acceptable to
16
   you?
17
             MR. RACKOWSKI: It is, Your Honor.
18
             THE COURT: All right.
19
              (Whereupon, the settlement conference concluded at
20
               11:55 a.m.)
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the within proceedings, and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same. /s/ Wendy C. Yinger Wendy C. Yinger, RPR, CRR U.S. Official Court Reporter (717) 440-1535The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction by any means unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.